

# ICECA

## International Conference Enumerative Combinatorics and Applications University of Haifa – Virtual – September 4-6, 2023

### Continued fractions using a Laguerre digraph interpretation of the Foata–Zeilberger bijection and its variants

Bishal Deb

Department of Mathematics, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, UK

bishal.deb.19@ucl.ac.uk

A continued fraction of Jacobi-type (J-fraction) is of the form

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n t^n = \frac{1}{1 - \gamma_0 t - \frac{\beta_1 t^2}{1 - \gamma_1 t - \frac{\beta_2 t^2}{1 - \dots}}} \quad (1)$$

where  $a_n$  are its coefficients when expanded as a formal power series. Euler [4, section 21] discovered a Stieltjes-type continued fraction for  $a_n = n!$  which can be contracted (see [13, p. V-31] for the contraction formula) to obtain a J-fraction for  $a_n = n!$  with coefficients  $\gamma_n = 2n + 1$  and  $\beta_n = n^2$ . One can introduce new variables in this J-fraction by replacing

- $\gamma_n = 2n + 1$  with  $\gamma_0 = z$ ,  $\gamma_n = ([x_2 + (n - 1)u_2] + [y_2 + (n - 1)v_2]) + w$  for  $n \geq 1$ ;
- and  $\beta_n = n^2$  with  $\beta_n = [x_1 + (n - 1)u_1][x_2 + (n - 1)v_1]$ ;

and then ask what permutation statistics are enumerated by the 10 variables  $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2, w, z$ . Sokal and Zeng systematically answered this question in [11]. In fact, they provide two interpretations for this J-fraction. However, their second interpretation was left as a conjecture [11, Conjecture 2.3] and they could only prove it with a specialisation. We have proved this conjecture in [2].

#### Statement of result

Given a permutation  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ , an index  $i$  can be classified as per the *cycle classification* into the following five disjoint categories: cycle peak if  $\sigma^{-1}(i) < i > \sigma(i)$ ; cycle valley if  $\sigma^{-1}(i) > i < \sigma(i)$ ;

cycle double rise if  $\sigma^{-1}(i) < i < \sigma(i)$ ; cycle double fall if  $\sigma^{-1}(i) > i > \sigma(i)$ ; and fixed point if  $\sigma^{-1}(i) = i = \sigma(i)$ .

Additionally, an index  $i$  can also be classified using the **record classification**. Following [8, p. 4] we also reformulate these statistics in terms of mesh patterns.

- record (or left-to-right maximum) if  $\sigma(j) < \sigma(i)$  for all  $j < i$ ; i.e., an occurrence of pattern  ;
- antirecord (or right-to-left minimum) if  $\sigma(j) > \sigma(i)$  for all  $j > i$ ; i.e., an occurrence of pattern  ;
- exclusive record if it is a record and not also an antirecord; i.e., an occurrence of pattern  ;
- exclusive antirecord if it is an antirecord and not also a record; i.e., an occurrence of pattern  ;
- record-antirecord if it is both a record and an antirecord; i.e., an occurrence of pattern  ;
- neither-record-antirecord if it is neither a record nor an antirecord ; i.e., an occurrence of pattern  , which is the pattern 321.

Every index  $i$  thus belongs to exactly one of the latter four types.

Furthermore, one can apply the record and cycle classifications simultaneously, to obtain 10 disjoint categories of the **record-and-cycle classification**: exclusive records that are either cycle valleys (ereccval) or cycle double rises (ereccdrise); exclusive antirecords that are either cycle peaks (eareccpeak) or cycle double falls (eareccdfall); record-antirecords (these are always fixed points) (rar); neither-record-antirecords that are either cycle peaks (nrcpeak) or are cycle valleys (nrcval) or cycle double rises (nrcdrise) or cycle double falls (nrcdfall) or fixed points (nrfix).

Using the record-and-cycle classification and the count of cycles the following 11-variable polynomial  $\widehat{Q}_n$  [11, Equation (2.29)] can be defined

$$\widehat{Q}_n(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2, z, w, \lambda) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} x_1^{\text{eareccpeak}(\sigma)} x_2^{\text{eareccdfall}(\sigma)} y_1^{\text{ereccval}(\sigma)} y_2^{\text{ereccdrise}(\sigma)} z^{\text{rar}(\sigma)} \times u_1^{\text{nrcpeak}(\sigma)} u_2^{\text{nrcdfall}(\sigma)} v_1^{\text{nrcval}(\sigma)} v_2^{\text{nrcdrise}(\sigma)} w^{\text{nrfix}(\sigma)} \lambda^{\text{cyc}(\sigma)} \quad (2)$$

The polynomials  $\widehat{Q}_n$  have a nice J-fraction:

**Theorem 0.1** ([11, Conjecture 2.3], [2, Theorem 3.1]). *The ordinary generating function of the polynomials  $\widehat{Q}_n$  specialised to  $v_1 = y_1$  has the J-type continued fraction*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widehat{Q}_n(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, u_1, u_2, y_1, v_2, \mathbf{w}, \lambda) t^n = \frac{1}{1 - \lambda w_0 t - \frac{\lambda x_1 y_1 t^2}{1 - (x_2 + y_2 + \lambda w_1) t - \frac{(\lambda + 1)(x_1 + u_1) y_1 t^2}{1 - (x_2 + y_2 + u_2 + v_2 + \lambda w_2) t - \frac{(\lambda + 2)(x_1 + 2u_1) y_1 t^2}{1 - \dots}}}} \quad (3)$$

with coefficients

$$\gamma_0 = \lambda w_0 \tag{4a}$$

$$\gamma_n = [x_2 + (n-1)u_2] + [y_2 + (n-1)v_2] + \lambda w_n \quad \text{for } n \geq 1 \tag{4b}$$

$$\beta_n = (\lambda + n - 1)[x_1 + (n-1)u_1]y_1 \tag{4c}$$

## Overview of proof

We first provide an overview of the Foata–Zeilberger bijection [7], and then briefly mention how we reinterpret it to obtain the count of cycles in a permutation.

Let  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  be a permutation on  $n$  letters. This permutation  $\sigma$  partitions the set  $[n]$  into excedance indices ( $F = \{i \in [n] : \sigma(i) > i\}$ ), anti-excedance indices ( $G = \{i \in [n] : \sigma(i) < i\}$ ), and fixed points ( $H$ ). Similarly,  $\sigma$  also partitions  $[n]$  into excedance values ( $F' = \{i \in [n] : i > \sigma^{-1}(i)\}$ ), anti-excedance values ( $G' = \{i \in [n] : i < \sigma^{-1}(i)\}$ ), and fixed points. Clearly,  $\sigma \upharpoonright F: F \rightarrow F'$ ,  $\sigma \upharpoonright G: G \rightarrow G'$ , and  $\sigma \upharpoonright H: H \rightarrow H$  are bijections, and the permutation  $\sigma$  can be obtained from the following data:

- Two partitions of the set  $[n] = F \cup G \cup H = F' \cup G' \cup H$ .
- The two subwords of  $\sigma$ :  $\sigma(x_1) \dots \sigma(x_m)$  and  $\sigma(y_1) \dots \sigma(y_l)$ , where  $G = \{x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_m\}$  and  $F = \{y_1 < y_2 < \dots < y_l\}$ .

In their construction, Foata and Zeilberger [7] use this data to describe a bijection between  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  to a set of labelled Motzkin paths of length  $n$ . One then uses Flajolet’s theorem [5] to obtain continued fractions from this bijection while keeping track of a multitude of simultaneous permutation statistics.

The Foata–Zeilberger bijection consists of the following steps (following [11, Section 6.1]):

- Step 1: A Motzkin path  $\omega$  is described from  $\sigma$ . The description of  $\omega$  completely depends on the sets  $F, F', G, G', H$ .
- Step 2: The labels  $\xi$  associated to  $\omega$  are obtained from  $\sigma$ . It turns out that the description of the labels depend on  $\sigma \upharpoonright F: F \rightarrow F'$ ,  $\sigma \upharpoonright G: G \rightarrow G'$ , and the set  $H$ , separately.
- Step 3: This step describes the construction of the inverse map  $(\omega, \xi) \mapsto \sigma$  and can be further broken down as follows:
  - Step 3(a): The sets  $F, F', G, G', H$  are read off from the path  $\omega$ .
  - Step 3(b): This description is the crucial part of the construction (at least for our purposes). We use the notion of *inversion tables* to construct the words  $\sigma: \sigma(x_1) \dots \sigma(x_m)$  and  $\sigma(y_1) \dots \sigma(y_l)$ , the former is constructed using “right-to-left” inversion table and the latter is constructed using “left-to-right” inversion table.

It is, a priori, unclear how one might be able to track the number of cycles of  $\sigma$  in this construction. We resolve this issue by reinterpreting Step 3(b). We describe a “history” of this construction using *Laguerre digraphs* [6, 10].

A Laguerre digraph of size  $n$  is a directed graph where each vertex has a distinct label from the label set  $[n]$  and has indegree 0 or 1 and outdegree 0 or 1. Clearly, any subgraph of a Laguerre digraph is also a Laguerre digraph. A permutation  $\sigma$  in cycle notation is equivalent to a Laguerre digraph  $L$  ([12, pp. 22–23]). The directed edges of  $L$  are precisely  $u \rightarrow \sigma(u)$ .

For a subset  $S \subseteq [n]$ , we let  $L|_S$  denote the subgraph of  $L$  containing the same set of vertices  $[n]$ , but only the edges  $u \rightarrow \sigma(u)$ , with  $u \in S$  (we are allowed to have  $\sigma(u) \notin S$ ). Let  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  be a rewriting of  $[n]$ . We consider the “history”  $L|_\emptyset \subset L|_{\{u_1\}} \subset L|_{\{u_1, u_2\}} \subset \dots \subset L|_{\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}} = L$  as a process of building up the permutation  $\sigma$  by successively considering the status of vertices  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$ . Thus, at each step we insert a new edge into the digraph, and at the end of this process, the resulting digraph obtained is the digraph of  $\sigma$ .

The crucial part of our construction is that the rewriting  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  is obtained as follows: we first go through  $H$  in increasing order (we call this stage (a)), we then go through  $G$  in increasing order (stage (b)), finally we go through  $F$  but in decreasing order (stage (c)). This total order is suggested by the inversion tables. On building up the permutation  $\sigma$  using this history, we will see that the cycles can only be formed during stage (c) and we can now count the number of cycles. Our total order on  $[n]$  only depends on the sets  $F, G, H$ , and hence, only on the path  $\omega$  and not on the labels  $\xi$  which is important for our proof to work.

## Twist in the story and final remarks.

The continued fractions for permutations in [11] were classified as “second” or “first” depending on whether or not they involved the count of cycles. The proofs of the first and second continued fractions involved two different bijections: the first continued fractions used a variant of the Foata–Zeilberger bijections, whereas the second continued fractions used the Biane bijection [1]. However, our proof for the conjectured “second” continued fraction proceeds by employing the “first” bijection but then reinterpreting it differently. This was a surprise to us.

We can adapt our proof technique to also resolve [9, Conjecture 12] from 1996, and [3, Conjecture 4.1]; both of these are continued fractions generalising the Genocchi and median Genocchi numbers, respectively. More details can be found in [2].

## References

- [1] P. Biane, Permutations suivant le type d’excédance et le nombre d’inversions et interprétation combinatoire d’une fraction continue de Heine, *European J. Combin.* 14 (1993), 277–284.
- [2] B. Deb, Continued fractions using a Laguerre digraph interpretation of the Foata–Zeilberger bijection and its variants, preprint (April 2023), arxiv: 2304.14487.
- [3] B. Deb and A.D. Sokal, Classical continued fractions for some multivariate polynomials generalizing the Genocchi and median Genocchi numbers, preprint (December 2022), arXiv:2212.07232.
- [4] L. Euler, De seriebus divergentibus, *Novi Commentarii Academiae Scientiarum Petropolitanae* 5 (1760), 205–237; reprinted in *Opera Omnia*, ser. 1, vol. 14, pp. 585–617. [Latin original and

English and German translations available at <http://eulerarchive.maa.org/pages/E247.html>]

- [5] P. Flajolet, Combinatorial aspects of continued fractions, *Discrete Math.* 32 (1980), 125–161.
- [6] D. Foata and V. Strehl, Combinatorics of Laguerre polynomials, in *Enumeration and Design*, edited by D.M. Jackson and S.A. Vanstone (Academic Press, Toronto, 1984), pp. 123–140.
- [7] D. Foata and D. Zeilberger, Denert’s permutation statistic is indeed Euler-Mahonian, *Stud. Appl. Math.* 83 (1990), 31–59.
- [8] B. Han and J. Zeng, Equidistributions of mesh patterns of length two and Kitaev and Zhang’s conjectures, *Adv. Appl. Math.* 127 (2021), 102149.
- [9] A. Randrianarivony and J. Zeng, Some equidistributed statistics on Genocchi permutations, *Electron. J. Combin.* 3:2 (1996), Research Paper #22.
- [10] A.D. Sokal, Multiple Laguerre polynomials: Combinatorial model and Stieltjes moment representation, *Amer. Math. Soc.* 150 (2022), 1997–2005.
- [11] A.D. Sokal and J. Zeng, Some multivariate master polynomials for permutations, set partitions, and perfect matchings, and their continued fractions, *Adv. Appl. Math.* 138 (2022), 102341.
- [12] R.P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics*, vol. 1, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, 2012.
- [13] G. Viennot, Une théorie combinatoire des polynômes orthogonaux généraux, Notes de conférences données à l’Université du Québec à Montréal, septembre-octobre 1983. Available on-line at [http://www.xavierviennot.org/xavier/polynomes\\_orthogonaux.html](http://www.xavierviennot.org/xavier/polynomes_orthogonaux.html)